

## City of York Council

Resolutions and proceedings of the Budget Meeting of the City of York Council held remotely on Thursday, 25 February 2021, starting at 6.00 pm

**Present:** The Lord Mayor (Cllr Janet Looker) in the Chair, and the following Councillors:

Acomb Ward	Bishopthorpe Ward
Lomas Barnes	Galvin
Clifton Ward	Copmanthorpe Ward
Myers Wells	Carr
Dringhouses & Woodthorpe Ward	Fishergate Ward
Widdowson Fenton Mason	D Taylor D'Agorne
Fulford and Heslington Ward	Guildhall Ward
Aspden	Craghill Fitzpatrick
Haxby & Wigginton Ward	Heworth Ward
Cuthbertson Hollyer Pearson	Douglas Perrett Webb
Heworth Without Ward	Holgate Ward
Ayre	Heaton Melly K Taylor

Hull Road Ward

Musson  
Norman  
Pavlovic

Huntington and New Earswick  
Ward

Cullwick  
Orrell  
Runciman

Micklegate Ward

Baker  
Crawshaw  
Kilbane

Osbaldwick and Derwent Ward

Rowley  
Warters

Rawcliffe and Clifton Without Ward

Smalley  
Wann  
Waudby

Rural West York Ward

Barker  
Hook

Strensall Ward

Doughty  
Fisher

Westfield Ward

Daubeney  
Hunter  
Waller

Wheldrake Ward

Vassie

## 86. Declarations of Interest

Members were invited to declare at this point in the meeting any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests, any prejudicial interests or any disclosable pecuniary interests they might have in the business on the agenda.

It was noted that the Monitoring Officer had confirmed that government guidance stated Members did not have a disclosable pecuniary interest in the business of setting the council tax and that the Council's code of conduct also stated that Members did not have a prejudicial interest in that business.

No interests were declared.

## 87. Minutes

Resolved: That the minutes of the Special and Ordinary meetings of Council held on 17 December 2020 be approved as a correct record in each case, to be signed by the Chair at a later date, **subject to** the addition of the following wording to the end of the section on questions to Cllr D'Agorne under Minute 85 (Questions to the Leader or Executive Members):

**"From Cllr Douglas:** How did the Green Party become involved in the payoff to the Chief Executive and its principles so compromised?"

Following a request by Cllr Ayre the Lord Mayor referred this question to the Monitoring Officer who stated that this was a matter being debated via the Audit and Governance Committee and also with external auditors, and as a result it would be inappropriate to comment further. In response to a challenge from Cllr K Taylor, the Lord Mayor sought clarification from the Monitoring Officer as to whether it might be more appropriate to discuss this matter more fully when the auditor's report had been completed, either at Audit & Governance Committee or at Full Council. The Monitoring Officer confirmed that this was the case."

## 88. Civic Announcements

The Lord Mayor drew attention to the fact that it was a year since Council last met in person. She suggested that Members give some thought to the creation of a memorial space in York to remember all those who had lost their lives during the pandemic.

The Lord Mayor then noted the recent deaths of two people of significance to York, namely:

**George Barton**, former Member of City of York Council;  
**Richard Shephard**, former Head of Minster School and former Chamberlain of York Minster.

Members observed a few moments' silence in memory of them both.

## 89. Public Participation

It was reported that 5 members of the public had registered to speak at the meeting under the Public Participation item in relation to business associated with setting the council's budget for the coming financial year.

Gwen Swinburn expressed the view that public consultation on the draft budget was inadequate and asked that this matter be referred to the Audit & Governance Committee.

Tom Franklin welcomed the budget proposals but also expressed concerns about the consultation process, which he suggested should take place over a longer period next year.

Matthias Ruth, Pro Vice-Chancellor for Research at the University of York, welcomed the proposals for investment to address climate change and looked forward to connecting with the council on this.

Jason Rose, Executive Director of York Fighting Coronavirus Together, supported the response to the pandemic but asked Members to prioritise the most vulnerable and financially disadvantaged people when implementing it.

Katherine Macy, highlighted the issues faced by carers from her own experience and welcomed the proposals in the budget to invest in carers.

## 90. **Updating the Delegation for Responding to Local Government Reorganisation in York and North Yorkshire**

Council received a report from the Chief Operating Officer and the Director of Governance which recommended additional delegations, in line with the previous Council decision (Minute 68 of the meeting on 31 October 2020 refers), to enable the council to respond to the statutory consultation on Local Government Reorganisation.

Cllr Aspden moved, and Cllr D'Agorne seconded, the following recommendations, as set out in the report:

“Council is asked to:

- a) Agree to support the North Yorkshire unitary proposal, given that of the only two options to be considered by Government, it aligns with City of York Council's case to remain as an existing unitary Council in its present form, and to make clear City of York Council's opposition to the East West proposal.

Reason: to allow officers to submit a clear response to the consultation.

- b) Agree to delegate authority to the Chief Operating Officer to submit a consultation response within the consultation process for City of York Council to remain as a unitary authority, as outlined in paragraph 13.

Reason: to ensure officers are able to support the policy position agreed at Full Council in October 2020.

- c) Agree to delegate to the Chief Operating Officer authority to undertake any actions he considers appropriate to promote City of York Council's case to remain as a unitary authority, as outlined in paragraphs 14 and 15.

Reason: to ensure officers are able to use appropriate resources to maintain ongoing support for the policy position agreed at Full Council in October 2020.”

A named vote was then taken, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Ayre	Cllr Rowley	
Cllr Baker	Cllr Warters	
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Wells		

Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
<b>44</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>

The recommendations were therefore declared CARRIED and it was

Resolved: That the above recommendations be approved.

**91. Recommendations of the Executive in respect of the Capital Programme Monitor 3 2020/21**

Cllr Aspden moved, and Cllr D’Agorne seconded, the following recommendation contained in Minute 99 of the Executive meeting held on 11 February 2021:

“Recommended: That Council approve the adjustments detailed in the report and contained in Annex A, resulting in a decrease of £24.824m in the approved budget.

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the council’s capital programme.”

A named vote was then taken, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Ayre	Cllr Rowley	
Cllr Baker	Cllr Warters	
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D’Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		

Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Wells		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
<b>44</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>

The recommendation was therefore declared CARRIED and it was

Resolved: That the above recommendation be approved.

**92. Recommendations of Executive on the Council's Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Financing and Investment Strategy and the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26**

Councillor Aspden moved and Councillor D'Agorne seconded, the following recommendations made by Executive at its meeting on 11 February 2021 in relation to:

- the Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26
  - the Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26
  - the Capital Financing and Investment Strategy
  - the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators 2021/22 to 2025/26,
- as set out in paragraphs 42 to 45 of the report at Item 7 on the Council agenda:

## **Revenue Budget**

“Executive recommends that Council:

- i) Approve the budget proposals outlined in the Financial Strategy report and in particular;
  - a. The net revenue expenditure requirement of £131.392m
  - b. A council tax requirement of £98.051m
  - c. The revenue growth proposals as outlined in the body of the report
  - d. The 2021/22 revenue savings proposals as outlined in annex 2
  - e. The fees and charges proposals as outlined in annex 3
  - f. The consultation feedback as set out in annex 4
  - g. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) growth set out in annex 5, the HRA savings proposals set out in annex 6, HRA 2021/22 budget set out in annex 7 and the HRA 30 year plan set out in annex 8.
  - h. The dedicated schools grant proposals outlined from paragraph 156
  - i. The use of £52k New Homes Bonus to fund one off investment, as outlined in paragraph 87.
- ii) That Council note that the effect of approving the income and expenditure proposals included in the recommendations would result in a 4.99% increase in the

City of York Council element of the council tax, 3% of which would relate to the social care precept.

Reason: To ensure a legally balanced budget is set.”

### **Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26**

“Executive recommends that Council:

- i) Agree to the revised capital programme of **£546.880m** that reflects a net overall increase of **£41.037m** (as set out in table 2 and in Annex A). Key elements of this include:
  - a. New schemes funded by prudential borrowing totalling £7.513m as set out in tables 3;
  - b. New schemes funded by a combination of both prudential borrowing and external funds of £3.300m as set out in table 4 and summarised in table 5;
  - c. New schemes funded by a combination of prudential borrowing and other internal funds of £200k as set out in table 6;
  - d. Extension of prudential borrowing funded Rolling Programme schemes totalling £10.870m as set out in table 5 and summarised in table 7;
  - e. Extension of externally funded Rolling Programme schemes totalling £7.200m as set out in table 9;
  - f. An increase in HRA funded schemes totalling £11.954m funded from a combination HRA balances/Right to Buy receipts as set out in table 10.

- ii) Note the total increase in Council borrowing as a result of new schemes being recommended for approval is £20.483m the details of which are considered within this report and the financial strategy report
- iii) Approve the full restated programme as summarised in Annex B totalling £546.880m covering financial years 2021/22 to 2025/26 as set out in table 14 and Annex B

Reason: In accordance with the statutory requirement to set a capital budget for the forthcoming financial year.”

### **Capital and Investment Strategy**

“Executive recommends that Council approve the Capital and Investment Strategy at Annex A

Reason: To meet the statutory obligation to comply with the Prudential Code 2017.”

### **Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators**

“Executive recommends that Council approve;

- i) The proposed treasury management strategy for 2021/22 including the annual investment strategy and the minimum revenue provision policy statement;
- ii) The prudential indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26 in the main body of the report;
- iii) The specified and non-specified investments schedule (annex B)
- iv) The scheme of delegation and the role of the section 151 officer (annex D).

Reason: To enable the continued effective operation of the treasury management function and ensure that all council borrowing is prudent, affordable and sustainable.”

## Labour Amendment

Cllr Myers then moved, and Cllr Perrett seconded, the following amendment on behalf of the Labour Group:

“In relation to the Executive’s recommendations on the revenue budget (paragraph 42 of page 50 of the Council papers refers):

**In sub paragraph (c)** add at the end of the sentence ‘subject to the following amendments:

- Revision of narrative in relation to one off growth of £200k Transport Plan Refresh to include ‘making provision within it for options on adopted council policy to remove non-essential car journeys through the city centre, and to conclude LTP4 by December 2022’
- An additional £90k for Early Talk for York
- An additional £23k for Training for Wellbeing for Education Return
- An additional £13k for Your Choice, Your Voice
- An additional £30k to fund a 4 year council tax exemption for care leavers
- An additional £45k to fund 1 FTE Officer to lead on monitoring social value policies in procurement and insourcing
- An additional £230k to TUPE staff from Make it York to City of York Council to support economic growth
- £20k one off investment in community wealth building to prepare a report on working in partnership with anchor organisations in York to procure more goods locally, in order to retain more wealth in the city
- £50k one off investment for York Future Goals
- Reversal of one off growth £30k – Organisational development programme.’

**In sub paragraph (d)** add at the end of the sentence ‘subject to the following amendments:

- Reverse saving proposal PEO11 outsourcing to independent sector- £147k.”
- Reduction of blacksmith apprenticeship budget with vacant post - £25k
- A reduction of 1 FTE in Executive policy team - £50k
- A reduction of two Executive Members - £37k
- Removal of a committee Chair - £6k
- Reduce Ward Committee budget - £200k

- Reduction in Communications Team budget - £45k
- Remove Economic Growth function budget to Make it York - £230k
- Reverse one off budget (unspent from 20/21) for Children and Young People cultural educational opportunities - £40k.’

**In sub paragraph (e)** add at the end of the sentence ‘subject to the following amendments:

- PLA04: Reversal of fee increase to Household Waste Recycling Centre fees - £5k
- CCS13: *Reversal\** of fee increase to Cremation and Bereavement Services - £10k.’

**After sub paragraph (i)** add a new sub paragraph ‘(j):

- The one off use of £48k from the Venture Fund to invest in a S106 developer tax tracker to record and monitor s106 to ensure planning gain isn't lost as a result of absence of departmental records
- The one off use of £75k from the Venture Fund to invest in a Children’s Commissioner
- The one off use of £100k from the Venture Fund to invest in proof of concept for robotics
- The one off use of £15k from the Venture Fund to invest in an apprentice to co-ordinate NEETS
- The one off use of £50k from the YFAS reserve to invest in targeted benefits advice for Pension Credit, Carers Allowance, Universal Credit and Council Tax support
- The one off use of £20k from the YFAS reserve to invest in a Child Poverty Strategy
- The one off use of £20k from the YFAS reserve to invest in the Right to Food and to adopt the independent recommendations from York Food Justice Alliance.’”

\*Cllr Ayre having raised a point of order in respect of the proposed amendment to CCS13 at sub-paragraph e above, the Monitoring Officer confirmed that there was an error in the published papers and that the word ‘*reversal*’ should read ‘*reduction*’.

After debate, a named vote was taken on the above amendment, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Barnes	Cllr Aspden	Cllr Warters
Cllr Crawshaw	Cllr Ayre	

Cllr Douglas	Cllr Baker	
Cllr Fitzpatrick	Cllr Barker	
Cllr Heaton	Cllr Carr	
Cllr Kilbane	Cllr Craghill	
Cllr Lomas	Cllr Cullwick	
Cllr Melly	Cllr Cuthbertson	
Cllr Musson	Cllr D'Agorne	
Cllr Myers	Cllr Daubeney	
Cllr Norman	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Pavlovic	Cllr Fenton	
Cllr Perrett	Cllr Fisher	
Cllr K Taylor	Cllr Galvin	
Cllr Webb	Cllr Hollyer	
Cllr Wells	Cllr Hook	
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)	Cllr Hunter	
	Cllr Mason	
	Cllr Orrell	
	Cllr Pearson	
	Cllr Rowley	
	Cllr Runcimsan	
	Cllr Smalley	
	Cllr D Taylor	
	Cllr Vassie	
	Cllr Waller	
	Cllr Wann	
	Cllr Waudby	
<b>17</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>

The Labour amendment was therefore declared LOST.

### Conservative amendment

Cllr Doughty then moved, and Cllr Rowley seconded, the following amendment on behalf of the Conservative Group:

“In relation to the Executive’s recommendations on the revenue budget (paragraph 42 of page 50 of Council papers refers):

**In sub paragraph (a)** delete ‘£131.392m’ and replace with ‘£130.967m’

**In sub paragraph (b)** delete ‘£98.051m’ and replace with ‘£97.626m’

**In sub paragraph (c)** add at the end of the sentence ‘subject to the following amendments:

- An additional £40k for one additional green waste round for Christmas tree collection
- An additional £75k to target travel solutions to communities lacking adequate public transport
- Additional pothole repair budget of £113k allowing for targeted work for requests from residents/wards
- An additional £42k to fund 40 new street benches, including ongoing maintenance costs, for the city centre and other shopping locations such as Acomb and Haxby in consultation
- Reduction of climate change delivery programme - £100k.

**In sub paragraph (d)** add at the end of the sentence ‘subject to the following amendments:

- A reduction in printing budget by £100k
- A reduction in 1 FTE from the Communications Team staff budget - £45k
- A reduction of member allowances increase, including all special responsibility allowances, to 2019/20 levels, allowing only for inflation at the rate previously agreed in 2015 - £77k
- A reduction of purple flag promotion budget - £50k
- A reduction of two Executive Members - £37k
- Removal of the Climate Change Committee Chair - £6k
- Reduction in overtime budgets - £100k
- Staff reduction of 2 FTE Political Assistant posts £90k
- Staff reduction of 2 FTE Policy Officer posts - £90k.

**In paragraph 42 (ii)** third line, delete ‘4.99%’ and replace with ‘4.49%’.”

After debate, a named vote was taken on the above amendment, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Doughty	Cllr Aspden	Cllr Wartors
Cllr Rowley	Cllr Ayre	
	Cllr Baker	
	Cllr Barker	
	Cllr Barnes	
	Cllr Carr	
	Cllr Craghill	

	Cllr Crawshaw	
	Cllr Cullwick	
	Cllr Cuthbertson	
	Cllr D'Agorne	
	Cllr Daubeney	
	Cllr Douglas	
	Cllr Fenton	
	Cllr Fisher	
	Cllr Fitzpatrick	
	Cllr Galvin	
	Cllr Heaton	
	Cllr Hollyer	
	Cllr Hook	
	Cllr Hunter	
	Cllr Kilbane	
	Cllr Lomas	
	Cllr Mason	
	Cllr Melly	
	Cllr Musson	
	Cllr Myers	
	Cllr Norman	
	Cllr Orrell	
	Cllr Pavlovic	
	Cllr Pearson	
	Cllr Perrett	
	Cllr Runciman	
	Cllr Smalley	
	Cllr D Taylor	
	Cllr K Taylor	
	Cllr Vassie	
	Cllr Waller	
	Cllr Wann	
	Cllr Waudby	
	Cllr Webb	
	Cllr Wells	
	Cllr Widdowson	
	Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)	
<b>2</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>

The Conservative amendment was therefore declared LOST.

After debate, named vote was then taken on the **original recommendations**, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Barnes	
Cllr Ayre	Cllr Crawshaw	
Cllr Baker	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Carr	Cllr Douglas	
Cllr Craghill	Cllr Fitzpatrick	
Cllr Cullwick	Cllr Heaton	
Cllr Cuthbertson	Cllr Kilbane	
Cllr D'Agorne	Cllr Lomas	
Cllr Daubeney	Cllr Melly	
Cllr Fenton	Cllr Musson	
Cllr Fisher	Cllr Myers	
Cllr Galvin	Cllr Norman	
Cllr Hollyer	Cllr Pavlovic	
Cllr Hook	Cllr Perrett	
Cllr Hunter	Cllr Rowley	
Cllr Mason	Cllr K Taylor	
Cllr Orrell	Cllr Warters	
Cllr Pearson	Cllr Webb	
Cllr Runciman	Cllr Wells	
Cllr Smalley	Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)	
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Widdowson		
<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>

The original recommendations were therefore declared CARRIED and it was

Resolved: That the Executive's recommendations to Council be approved.

**93. Council Tax Resolution 2021/22**

Finally, and in light of the setting of the budget for 2021/22 under minute no.92 above, Councillor Aspden moved, and Councillor

D'Agorne seconded, the Council Tax resolution for 2021/22, as set out in the report and schedules at pages 55-65 of the Council agenda.

After debate, the required named vote was taken on the Council Tax Resolution, with the following result:

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstained</b>
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Ayre	Cllr Rowley	
Cllr Baker	Cllr Warters	
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		

Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Wells		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
<b>44</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>

The motion was accordingly declared CARRIED, and it was

Resolved:

(i) That it be noted that on 10 December 2020 the Chief Finance Officer, under her delegated authority, calculated the council tax base for the year 2021/22:

- (a) for the **whole Council area** as 67,511.60 [Item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the “Act”)]; and
- (b) for those dwellings in those parts of its area to which a **Parish** precept relates as in column 1 in the attached Schedule A.

(ii) That it be calculated that the Council Tax requirement for the Council’s own purposes for 2021/22 (excluding Parish precepts) is £98,051,150.

(iii) That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2021/22 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:

- (a) £433,334,302 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
- (b) £334,476,460 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the

items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.

- (c) £98,857,842 being the amount by which the aggregate at 14(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 14(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year. [Item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act].
- (d) £1,464.31 being the amount at 14(c) above [Item R], all divided by Item T (12(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish precepts).
- (e) £806,692 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Schedule A).
- (f) £1,452.36 being the amount at 14(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 14(e) above by Item T (12(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

(iv) That it be noted that North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner for North Yorkshire Police Authority and the North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority has issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Act for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the tables below.

(v) That the Council, in accordance with sections 30 and 36 of the Act, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below, and at Schedule B for Parished areas, as the amounts of council tax for 2021/22 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

### **City of York Council**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
968.24	1,129.62	1,290.99	1,452.36	1,775.10	2,097.85	2,420.60	2,904.72

### **North Yorkshire Police Authority**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
180.71	210.82	240.94	271.06	331.30	391.53	451.77	542.12

### **North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
49.43	57.66	65.90	74.14	90.62	107.09	123.57	148.28

### **Aggregate of Council Tax Requirements (excluding Parished Areas)**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
1,198.38	1,398.10	1,597.83	1,797.56	2,197.02	2,596.47	2,995.94	3,595.12

(vi) That it be determined that the Council's basic amount of council tax for 2021/22 is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under section 52ZB of the Act. As the billing authority, the Council has not been notified by a major precepting authority that its relevant basic amount of council tax for 2021/22 is excessive and that the billing authority is not required to hold a referendum in accordance with Section 52ZK Local Government Finance Act 1992.

Cllr Janet Looker

LORD MAYOR OF YORK

*[The meeting started at 6.00 pm and concluded at 9.40 pm]*